UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,816	06/13/2007	Soon Mo Hwang	5025-0008	5120
22429 7590 11/10/2009 LOWE HAUPTMAN HAM & BERNER, LLP 1700 DIAGONAL ROAD SUITE 300 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			EXAMINER	
			LAUX, DAVID J	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3743	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/599,816	HWANG ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
David J. Laux	3743	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 9 Octo	ber 2009.			
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference	will not be held for the following			
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Prolude reasons why a review is appropries included with the Pre-Appeal Brief r	iate.			
	nse continues to run from the receipt on munication, if no Notice of Appeal h				
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.					
The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from con		ws:			
	conference has been held. The reject ution on the merits remains closed. N				
4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
All participants:					
(1) <u>David J. Laux</u> .	(3) <u>Michael J. I</u>	<u>Milano</u> .			
(2) Kenneth B. Rinehart.	(4)				
/D. J. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 3743	/Kenneth B Rinehart/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3743	/Michael J Milano/ Primary Examiner			